1	MICHAEL STEPANIAN		
2	Attorney at Law (CSBN 037712) 819 Eddy Street		
	San Francisco, CA 94109		
3	Telephone: (415) 771-6174 Facsimile: (415) 474-3748		
4	mstepanian@sbcglobal.net		
5	Attorney for Defendant JOHN GIMBEL		
6	JOHN GIMBEE		
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	000		
11	LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR. 09-00989-MHP	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	vs.	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED	
15		ORDER TO CONTINUE DATE FOR	
	JOHN GIMBEL,	HEARING ON DEFENDANT S MOTION TO DISMISS	
16	Defendant.		
17		<u>/</u>	
18			
19	Defendant JOHN GIMBEL, by and	d through his counsel of record Michael	
20	Stepanian, and Assistant U.S. Attorney Kevin Barry hereby stipulate and agree that the		
21	hearing on defendant s Motion To Dismiss shall be continued from July 15, 2010 to July		
22	29, 2010 at 10 a.m.		
23	Defense counsel requests this continuance for the purpose of further briefing on		
24	the matter. The parties agree that, taking into account the public interest in prompt		

disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this extension. Defendant also agrees

to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. Section

3161. The parties represent that the continuance is the reasonable time necessary for

continuity of defense counsel and effective preparation of counsel, taking into account

25

26

27

28

1	the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also		
2	agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best		
3	interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. Section		
4	3161(h)(8)(A).		
5			
6	Date: July 13, 2010	/s/ Michael Stepanian	
7		MICHAEL STEPANIAN Counsel for Defendant John Gimbel	
8	D	/ / IZ	
9	Date: July 13, 2010	/s/ Kevin Barry KEVIN BARRY	
10		Assistant United States Attorney	
11	I have no objection to this continuance.	(Signature attached to this Stipulation)	
12 13	Date: July 14, 2010	JOHN GIMBLE Defendant	
14	For the reasons stated above, the Court hereby continues the hearing from July 15,		
15	2010 to July 29, 2010. The Court further finds that an exclusion of time from July 15,		
16	2010 through July 29, 2010 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the		
17	continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.		
18	See 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance		
19	would deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the		
20	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of		
21	due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. Section		
22	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	STATES DISTRICA COLLEGE	
23	SO ORDERED.	STATES DISTRICT CO.	
24			
25	Date: July <u>15</u> , 2010	IT IS SO ORDERED	
26	Ω	a all the state of	
27	Z	Judge Marilyn H. Patel	
28	\R		
	2	DISTRICT OF CE	

1	the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also		
2	agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best		
3	interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. Section		
4	3161(h)(8)(A).		
5			
6	Date: July 13, 2010 /s/ Michael Stepanian		
7	Counsel for Defendant		
8	John Gimbel		
9	Date: July 13, 2010 /s/ Kevin Barry		
10	Assistant United States Attorney		
11	I have no objection to this continuance.		
12	Date: July 14, 2010		
13	JOHN GIMBLE Defendant		
14	For the reasons stated above, the Court hereby continues the hearing from July 15,		
15	2010 to July 29, 2010. The Court further finds that an exclusion of time from July 15,		
16	2010 through July 29, 2010 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the		
17	continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.		
18	See 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance		
19	would deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the		
20	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of		
21	due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. Section		
22	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
23	SO ORDERED.		
24			
25	Date: July, 2010		
26	MARILYN H. PATEL U.S. District Court Judge		
27			
28			